What does the tree of life look like as it grows?

Toward a dynamic theory of phylogenetic space

Kevin Hudnall

Biosystems Engineering Graduate Group

Dimensions of phylogenetic space



These are **static trees**. We have the quantities Δt and $\Delta \varepsilon$, but not ∂t and $\partial \varepsilon$.

Chronograms and phylograms are individually inadequate to model the dynamic case

Requirement of sufficient dimensions:



That is, to represent the dynamic $\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t}$, we must **combine chronograms and phylograms**.

Requirements of a dynamic model

- Sufficient dimensions for the dynamic $\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t}$
- The random principle
 - The foundation of modern biology
- The nested principle
 - The logical structure of descent-with-modification
- The organism-population duality principle

> Mantra in biology: "populations, not organisms, evolve".



A species tree is the **convex hull** of a genus node.

Hint: a tree is not needed if only one metric



A tree in \mathbb{R}^2 with only one metric can be reduced to \mathbb{R} if we utilize scale. (Think random Cantor dust)

Approach: assign one variable to scale, the other to branch length

Form $\varepsilon \rightarrow$ scale Time t \rightarrow branch length Evolution $\partial \varepsilon \rightarrow$ scale contraction Time expansion $\partial t \rightarrow$ branch elongation

100



 $\uparrow \partial t \neq 0$



The model: trees within trees within trees...

 $\partial \varepsilon_{\cdot,i}$: path-specific contraction on the unit square to extant/living leaf $\partial t_{\cdot,i}$: path-specific branch elongation $\partial \varepsilon_{\cdot,i}$ $\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t}$

٠

Stochastic Iterated Function System

Auxiliary functions

Let $R: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1] \times [0,1]$ such that

 $R(\mathcal{T}_{0_{\dots}}) = (a, b)$, the coordinates of the root node of tree $\mathcal{T}_{0_{\dots}}$.

Let $L: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that

 $L(\mathcal{T}_{0_{\cdots_{i}}}) =$ the number of leaves (terminal nodes) of tree $\mathcal{T}_{0_{\cdots_{i}}}$, and

Let l_{i_j} denote the *j*th leaf of tree $\mathcal{T}_{0_{...}}$.

Ratio list:

For tree $\mathcal{T}_{0, \ldots, i}$ in the $K - 1^{\text{th}}$ system iterate: $\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{0, \ldots, i}, & \ldots \in \varepsilon_{0, \ldots, i} \\ & & \downarrow_{L}(\mathcal{T}_{0, \ldots, i}^{K-1}) \end{pmatrix} \text{ Assigned to biological form}$ Where each $\varepsilon_{0, \ldots, i_{j}}$ is a random variable with $\varepsilon_{0, \ldots, i_{j}} \sim U(0, \varepsilon_{0, \ldots, i_{j}})$, and $\varepsilon_{1} \sim U(0, 1)$. Function list:

The leaves l_{i_j} of tree $\mathcal{T}_{0_{\underbrace{i_i}}}$ in the K^{th} system iterate E_K , are comprised of $L\left(\mathcal{T}_{0_{\underbrace{i_i}}}^{K-1}\right)$ executions of function $T_{0_{\underbrace{i_i}}}^K$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} T_{0}^{K}, \dots, T_{0}^{K} \\ & \ddots \\ & & \cdot \\ & & \cdot \\ & & \cdot \\ & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

Where $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with range $1 \leq i \leq L(\mathcal{T}^{K-1})$

Here, $T_{0}^{K}_{\cdot \cdot i_{j}}$: $[0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1] \times [0,1]$ is given by:

$$T_{0_{\cdots i_{j}}^{K}}^{K} = \mathbf{Z} \ast \varepsilon_{0_{\cdots i_{j}}} + ||R\left(T_{0_{\cdots i_{j}}^{K}}\right) - l_{i_{j}}||_{2}, l_{i_{j}} \in \mathcal{T}_{0_{\cdots i_{j}}^{K-1}}^{K-1}$$

GW Scale ShiftWhere Z is a sequence generated by the Galton-Watson branching process:

$Z_{p+1} = \cdot$	$\begin{cases} \xi_1^{p+1} + \dots + \xi_{Z_p}^{p+1}, \\ 0, \end{cases}$	$Z_p > 0$ $Z_p = 0$	Static approximation tree
-------------------	--	---------------------	---------------------------------

With $\xi_r^p \in \mathbb{Z}$ *i*. *i*. *d*. Nonnegative random variables, and $p, r \ge 0$.

Every scale path ε_{i_j} is a Markov chain

Each
$$\varepsilon_{i_j}$$
 is a random variable with $\varepsilon_{i_j} \sim U(0, \varepsilon_{i_j})$, and $\varepsilon_1 \sim U(0, 1)$. So $\varepsilon_{i_j} < \varepsilon_{i_j}$ for all $\varepsilon_{\#}$

$$\Pr\left(\mathcal{E}_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}=\varepsilon_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}\middle|\mathcal{E}_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}=\varepsilon_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}},\mathcal{E}_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}=\varepsilon_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}\right)=\Pr\left(\mathcal{E}_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}=\varepsilon_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}\middle|\mathcal{E}_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}=\varepsilon_{0,\dots,h_{i_{j}}}\right)$$



Therefore, the entropy is:

$$\mathbf{H}\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\cdot i_{j}} \end{bmatrix} = \log\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\cdot \cdot i_{j}}\right) \leq \mathbf{0}$$

Comparing information measures

•

Example results: 7 iterations, 20643 extant leaves



Example results: Local channel capacity densities $i - \text{Local channel} \rightarrow j$ Channel capacity: $C_{ij} = \max_{p(\varepsilon_{0,i_{j}})} I[\varepsilon_{0,i_{j}}; \varepsilon_{0,i_{j}}] = H[\varepsilon_{0,i_{j}}] + H[\varepsilon_{0,i_{j}}] - H[\varepsilon_{0,i_{j}}, \varepsilon_{0,i_{j}}] = \log(\varepsilon_{0,i_{j}}) + \log(\varepsilon_{0,i_{j}}) - \log(\frac{\varepsilon_{i,i_{j}}}{\varepsilon_{i,i_{j}}})$

Channel capacity density:

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{c_{ij}}{L(\tau_{ij})}$$

7 iterations, 4,430 extant leaves, 1,018 trees in 7th iterate



Mutual information and information distance:

How do extant leaves relate?



Assign information distance d_{ε} to be the metric of biological form.

Example results: cross-path mutual information

All unique pairwise combinations of mutual information for 6,616 extant leaves (7 iterations)



Example results: cross-path information distance

All unique pairwise combinations of information distance for 6,616 extant leaves (7 iterations)



Interested in:



All unique pairwise combinations of $\frac{l}{d_{\varepsilon}}$ for 6,616 extant leaves (7 iterations)



The metric
$$d_t(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j)$$
? For another day

Multifractal structure \Rightarrow not a simple task



