

# Better Guesses at Solutions to Difficult Problems: Gomory-Johnson Cut-Generating Functions

David Haley Jun 6, 2017

### Linear Programming





### Integer Programming





## Simplex Output

$$x_2 = 3.30 - 0.10x_4 - 0.80x_3$$
$$x_1 = 1.30 - 0.10x_4 + 0.20x_3$$
$$z = 14.08 - 0.76x_4 - 0.58x_3$$



### Gomory Fractional Cut

$$x_2 = 3.30 - 0.10x_4 - 0.80x_3$$
  

$$x_1 = 1.30 - 0.10x_4 + 0.20x_3$$
  

$$z = 14.08 - 0.76x_4 - 0.58x_3$$

But if

$$x_2 + 0.10x_4 + 0.80x_3 = 3.30$$

and

$$x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

it must be true that

 $0.10x_4 + 0.80x_3 \geq 0.30$ 

### Gomory Fractional Cut

We add  $0.10x_4 + 0.80x_3 \ge 0.30$  to the constraints:



Take one row of the simplex tableau:

$$x_i + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} r_j x_j \in f + \mathbb{Z}$$

Apply cut-generating function to get a new constraint:

$$\sum_{j\in\mathcal{N}}\pi_f(r_j)x_j\geq 1$$

Add this constraint to the original problem and solve the modified problem.

3. Electronic compendium

TABLE 1. An overview of the Electronic Compendium of extreme functions, available at https://github.com/mkoeppe/infinite-group-relaxation-code







Which function with which tuning parameters is "best"?



This type of cut is done in the absence of any knowledge of the other rows.

At this level, it less important to track the *identity* of the variables.

Instead, we can pay attention to the collection of coefficients.

This type of cut is done in the absence of any knowledge of the other rows.

At this level, it less important to track the *identity* of the variables.

Instead, we can pay attention to the collection of coefficients.

Question:

How does the distribution of (scaled) coefficients change after applying the cut-generating function?

This type of cut is done in the absence of any knowledge of the other rows.

At this level, it less important to track the *identity* of the variables.

Instead, we can pay attention to the collection of coefficients.

Question:

How does the distribution of (scaled) coefficients change after applying the cut-generating function?

Is there an invariant distribution?

This type of cut is done in the absence of any knowledge of the other rows.

At this level, it less important to track the *identity* of the variables.

Instead, we can pay attention to the collection of coefficients.

Question:

How does the distribution of (scaled) coefficients change after applying the cut-generating function?

- Is there an invariant distribution?
- How can it be interpreted?

This type of cut is done in the absence of any knowledge of the other rows.

At this level, it less important to track the *identity* of the variables.

Instead, we can pay attention to the collection of coefficients.

Question:

How does the distribution of (scaled) coefficients change after applying the cut-generating function?

- Is there an invariant distribution?
- How can it be interpreted?
- Can we use this interpretation to more intelligently choose the best function to apply?





` -1







### Future Work

- Craft example problems that generate a particular distribution of coefficients in the tableau
- Leverage available information to optimize cuts over the tuning parameters

## Thanks!

